

From: Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US
Sent: 3/19/2012 6:15:05 PM
To: Ron Borsellino/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
CC: Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Kathy Hodgkiss/R3/USEPA/US@EPA; Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

Thanks!

----- Original Message -----

From: Ron Borsellino
Sent: 03/19/2012 06:09 PM EDT
To: Shawn Garvin
Cc: Dennis Carney; Kathy Hodgkiss; Gerald Heston
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

I'm sure Dennis could get Bob a sample asap
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

----- Original Message -----

From: Shawn Garvin
Sent: 03/19/2012 06:05 PM EDT
To: Bob Sussman; Betsaida Alcantara; Ron Borsellino; Dennis Carney
Cc: Brendan Gilfillan; Jim Martin; Michael Kulik
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

Bob - Will do.

Ron & Dennis - Can you get Bob and the rest of the folks on the message a sample of one of the packets we sent to the residents.

Thanks - Shawn

----- Original Message -----

From: Bob Sussman
Sent: 03/19/2012 05:47 PM EDT
To: Betsaida Alcantara
Cc: Brendan Gilfillan; Jim Martin; Michael Kulik; Shawn Garvin
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

Shawn -- can you provide a representative example of the data package we sent to homeowners?

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Robert M. Sussman
Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator
Office of the Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-7397

From: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US
To: Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 03/19/2012 05:33 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

yes

From: Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/19/2012 05:10 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Follow up

Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Robert M. Sussman
Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator
Office of the Administrator
US Environmental Protection Agency
(202) 564-7397

From: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US
To: Jim Martin/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Bob Sussman/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Brendan Gilfillan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/19/2012 04:32 PM
Subject: Fw: Follow up

See below.

----- Forwarded by Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US on 03/19/2012 04:27 PM -----

From: Abrahm Lustgarten <Abrahm.Lustgarten@propublica.org>
To: Betsaida Alcantara/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 03/19/2012 04:25 PM
Subject: Follow up

Hi Betsaida,

Thanks for chatting. Just to confirm the two follow-ups –

- Is the decision to release news about Dimock inconsistent with what happened in Pavillion and why?
- Why are some of the following not characterized in EPA's statement about Dimock's water released Thursday:
 - o Low levels of pyrene, benzoprene, fluorine, fluoranthene, dinutrotoluene, hexachlorobenzene, phthalates, etc?
 - o High levels of methane with Ethane, which normally signifies thermogenic sourcing.
 - o Metals including chromium, lithium and lead – Lithium in particular are fairly high levels in some samples.

Thank you,
Abrahm Lustgarten

917-589-1262